By: Representative Formby

To: Judiciary A

HOUSE BILL NO. 89

1 AN ACT TO LIMIT PUNITIVE DAMAGE AWARDS IN FINANCIAL INJURY 2 ACTIONS; TO PROVIDE EXCEPTIONS TO SUCH LIMITATIONS; TO AMEND 3 SECTION 11-1-65, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO CONFORM TO THE 4 PROVISIONS OF THIS ACT; AND FOR RELATED PURPOSES. 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI: 6 SECTION 1. As used in this act, the term "financial injury 7 action" refers to any civil action in which: 8 (a) There is no claim for compensation made on account of personal injury; 9 10 (b) There is no claim for compensation on account of personal injury which is submitted to the trier of fact; or 11 (c) The trier of fact determines that there is no 12 13 liability for compensation on account of any personal injury. SECTION 2. In any financial injury action: 14 15 (a) No award of punitive damages shall exceed the greater of two (2) time the amount of total compensatory damages 16 17 awarded to the plaintiff in the action or Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00). 18 (b) Evidence of a defendant's financial condition or 19 20 net worth shall not be admissible in the portion of the proceeding 21 relating to the award of punitive damages. (c) If the trier of fact determines that punitive 2.2 damages are to be awarded, the judge shall determine the amount of 23 24 the punitive damages award. 25 (d) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (e) of this section, punitive damages shall not be awarded against a 26 defendant for any activity which is subject to regulation by any 27

H. B. No. 89 99\HR40\R440 PAGE 1 28 agency of the United States or the State of Mississippi, if the 29 regulated activity was in compliance with applicable regulations 30 of the United States and this state.

31 (e) The prohibition against awarding punitive damages 32 in the circumstances described in paragraph (d) of this section 33 shall not apply when the plaintiff proves by clear and convincing 34 evidence that the defendant:

(i) Knowingly and in violation of applicable state
 or federal agency regulations withheld or misrepresented
 information required to be submitted to the agency, which
 information was material and relevant to the harm in question; or
 (ii) Made an illegal payment to an official of the
 federal or state agency for the purpose of securing approval of

41 the activity.

42 SECTION 3. Section 11-1-65, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
43 amended as follows:

44 11-1-65. (1) In any action in which punitive damages are 45 sought:

46 (a) Punitive damages may not be awarded if the claimant
47 does not prove by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant
48 against whom punitive damages are sought acted with actual malice,
49 gross negligence which evidences a willful, wanton or reckless
50 disregard for the safety of others, or committed actual fraud.

51 (b) In any action in which the claimant seeks an award 52 of punitive damages, the trier of fact shall first determine 53 whether compensatory damages are to be awarded and in what amount, 54 before addressing any issues related to punitive damages.

(c) If, but only if, an award of compensatory damages has been made against a party, the court shall promptly commence an evidentiary hearing before the same trier of fact to determine whether punitive damages may be considered.

(d) The court shall determine whether the issue of punitive damages may be submitted to the trier of fact; and, if so, the trier of fact shall determine whether to award punitive damages and in what amount.

63 (e) In all cases involving an award of punitive64 damages, the fact finder, in determining the amount of punitive

H. B. No. 89 99\HR40\R440 PAGE 2 65 damages, shall consider, to the extent relevant, the following: 66 the defendant's financial condition and net worth; the nature and 67 reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing, for example, the impact of the defendant's conduct on the plaintiff, or the 68 relationship of the defendant to the plaintiff; the defendant's 69 awareness of the amount of harm being caused and the defendant's 70 motivation in causing such harm; the duration of the defendant's 71 misconduct and whether the defendant attempted to conceal such 72 73 misconduct; and any other circumstances shown by the evidence that 74 bear on determining a proper amount of punitive damages. The 75 trier of fact shall be instructed that the primary purpose of 76 punitive damages is to punish the wrongdoer and deter similar 77 misconduct in the future by the defendant and others while the 78 purpose of compensatory damages is to make the plaintiff whole. (i) Before entering judgment for an award of 79 (f) 80 punitive damages the trial court shall ascertain that the award is reasonable in its amount and rationally related to the purpose to 81 82 punish what occurred giving rise to the award and to deter its repetition by the defendant and others. 83 84 (ii) In determining whether the award is 85 excessive, the court shall take into consideration the following factors: 86 87 1. Whether there is a reasonable relationship between the punitive damage award and the harm likely to result 88 89 from the defendant's conduct as well as the harm that actually 90 occurred; 91 2. The degree of reprehensibility of the defendant's conduct, the duration of that conduct, the defendant's 92 awareness, any concealment, and the existence and frequency of 93 94 similar past conduct; 95 3. The financial condition and net worth of the defendant; and 96

97

4. In mitigation, the imposition of criminal

H. B. No. 89 99\HR40\R440 PAGE 3 98 sanctions on the defendant for its conduct and the existence of 99 other civil awards against the defendant for the same conduct.

100 (g) The seller of a product other than the manufacturer 101 shall not be liable for punitive damages unless the seller exercised substantial control over that aspect of the design, 102 103 testing, manufacture, packaging or labeling of the product that 104 caused the harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the 105 seller altered or modified the product, and the alteration or 106 modification was a substantial factor in causing the harm for 107 which recovery of damages is sought; the seller had actual knowledge of the defective condition of the product at the time he 108 109 supplied same; or the seller made an express factual representation about the aspect of the product which caused the 110 harm for which recovery of damages is sought. 111

112 (2) The provisions of Section 11-1-65 shall not apply to:

113

(a) Contracts;

114

(b) Libel and slander; or

(c) Causes of action for persons and property arising out of asbestos.

117 (3) Punitive damages for a financial injury action shall be 118 governed by Sections 1 and 2 of House Bill No. , 1999 119 <u>Regular Session.</u>

120 SECTION 4. This act shall take effect and be in force from 121 and after July 1, 1999.